

manatt | phelps | phillips

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3101

Sender:

File:NO. 66642-060

To:

Rutan & Tucker, LLP

Jeffrey T. Melching
Noam Duzman
Lauren Palley
611 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400
Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1931

1 2 3	MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP CRAIG A. MOYER (Bar No. CA 094187) E-mail: cmoyer@manatt.com VIRAL MEHTA (Bar No. CA 261852) E-mail: VMehta@manatt.com			
4	2049 Century Park East, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, California 90067			
5	Telephone: 310.312.4000 Facsimile: 310.312.4224			
6	MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP			
7	MATTHEW WILLIAMSON (Bar No. CA 24762 mwilliamson@manatt.com	7)		
8	695 Town Center Drive, 14th Floor Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1924			
9	Telephone: (714) 371-2500 Facsimile: (714) 371-2550			
10	Attorneys for Defendant ALL AMERICAN ASPHALT			
11	ALL AMERICAN ASPHALI			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE—CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER			
14				
15	CITY OF IRVINE,	Case No. 30-2020-01153015-CU-MC-CJC		
16	Plaintiff,	[Hon. Gregory H. Lewis, Dept. C26]		
17	v.	DEFENDANT ALL AMERICAN ASPHALT'S VERIFIED ANSWER TO		
18	ALL AMERICAN ASPHALT and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	PLAINTIFF CITY OF IRVINE'S COMPLAINT FOR PUBLIC		
19 20	Defendants.	NUISANCE ABATEMENT, INJUNCTION, EQUITABLE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES		
21		Complaint Filed: July 28, 2020		
22		Trial Date: None Set		
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28 LPS & LP	326974864.1			

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW COSTA MESA 1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Manatt, Phelps & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW COSTA MESA

ANSWER

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure sections 431.30 and 446, Defendant All American Asphalt ("AAA") hereby submits its verified answer to Plaintiff the City of Irvine's ("Plaintiff" or "City") Complaint for Public Nuisance Abatement, Injunction, Equitable Relief and Civil Penalties ("Complaint") as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, those allegations are argument and conclusions of law requiring no answer, but to the extent they contain assertions of fact, AAA denies such allegations. AAA specifically denies that it is knowingly permitting the facility at 10671 Jeffrey Road, Irvine, California to operate in violation of State and local laws, regulations and standards.
- 2.. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, those allegations are argument and conclusions of law requiring no answer, but to the extent they contain assertions of fact, AAA denies such allegations. AAA specifically denies that it is knowingly permitting the facility at 10671 Jeffrey Road, Irvine, California to operate in violation of State and local laws, regulations and standards.
- 3. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, AAA admits that it is operating at asphalt plant at 10671 Jeffrey Road, Irvine, California and provides products to its clients from that plant. AAA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 3.
- 4. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, those allegations are argument and conclusions of law requiring no answer, but to the extent they contain assertions of fact, AAA denies such allegations.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

5. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, AAA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 and, on that basis, denies such allegations.

326974864.1

28

326974864.1

violated SCAQMD rules and regulations or State or local provisions, including the Irvine Municipal Code.

- 13. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, the documents referenced therein speak for themselves. AAA denies that it has violated SCAQMD rules and regulations or State or local provisions, including the Irvine Municipal Code. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 13 are argument, including Plaintiff's self-serving characterizations of the documents, and conclusions of law requiring no answer, but to the extent they contain assertions of fact, AAA denies such allegations.
- 14. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, AAA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 and, on that basis, denies such allegations.
- 15. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, AAA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 and, on that basis, denies such allegations.
- 16. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, AAA lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 and, on that basis, denies such allegations.

OVERVIEW OF APPLICABLE LAW

- 17. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint, those allegations are argument and conclusions of law requiring no answer, but to the extent they contain assertions of fact, AAA denies such allegations.
- 18. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, those allegations are argument and conclusions of law requiring no answer, but to the extent they contain assertions of fact, AAA denies such allegations.
- 19. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint, those allegations are argument and conclusions of law requiring no answer, but to the extent they contain assertions of fact, AAA denies such allegations.

326974864.1

MANATT, PHELPS &
PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNESS AT LAW
COSTA MISS

326974864.1

- 1			
1	public nuisance theory.		
2	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
3	(Lawful Operation)		
4	4. AAA is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Complaint and each		
5	and every cause of action alleged therein fails because AAA operated its business lawfully		
6	pursuant to, among other things, the Irvine Municipal Code, applicable zoning laws, and duly		
7	issued permits. See, e.g., Civil Code § 3482; Code Civ. Proc. § 731a; Dina v. People ex rel.		
8	Dep't of Transp., 151 Cal. App. 4th 1029, 1052–53 (2007).		
9	<u>FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>		
10	(Consent)		
11	5. AAA is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff is barred from		
12	any recovery because it consented to the alleged acts and omissions that give rise to the		
13	Complaint.		
14	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
15	(Intervening and Superseding Acts of Others)		
16	6. AAA is informed and believes and thereon alleges that any damages allegedly		
17	suffered by Plaintiff were proximately caused by the intervening and superseding actions of		
18	others, which intervening and superseding actions bar or diminish Plaintiff's recovery, if any,		
19	against AAA.		
20	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
21	(Unclean Hands)		
22	7. The Complaint, and each purported cause of action therein, is barred by the		
23	equitable doctrine of unclean hands. Plaintiff has unclean hands and is barred from enforcing		
24	the claims set forth in the Complaint.		
25	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
26	(Waiver)		
27	8. The Complaint, and each purported cause of action therein, is barred because		
28	Plaintiff consented to the conduct upon which the claims are based, and waived its right to 326974864.1		

1				
2	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
3	(Proportionate Fault)			
4	14. If Plaintiff sustained any loss under the circumstances alleged in the Complaint,			
5	which is denied, AAA is liable only for that portion of damages, if any, which corresponds to			
6	AAA's degree of fault or responsibility, and AAA is not liable for damages attributable to the			
7	responsibility, negligence or fault of Plaintiff or of any other person or entity, whether named or			
8	unnamed in the Complaint.			
9	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
10	(No Duty)			
11	15. There is no legal relationship upon which any duty could possibly be owed by			
12	AAA to Plaintiff, and therefore, Plaintiff's causes of action fail as a matter of law.			
13	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
14	(Failure to Mitigate)			
15	16. Plaintiff has failed to exercise reasonable efforts to minimize or avoid any			
16	damages that are alleged to have been caused by AAA; by reason thereof, Plaintiff is barred, in			
17	whole or in part, from recovering damages from AAA, and the liability AAA to Plaintiff, if any,			
18	should be apportioned, denied or reduced accordingly.			
19	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
20	(No Causation)			
21	17. Plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused solely, directly and proximately by the			
22	acts or omissions of Plaintiff or third parties, not by any acts or omissions of AAA, its agents, or			
23	employees.			
24	EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
25	(Ratification)			
26	18. Plaintiff by its acts, conduct, and/or omissions, has ratified the acts, conduct and			
27	omissions, if any, of AAA and Plaintiff is barred from seeking any relief from the AAA.			
28	/// 326974864.1			

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
COSTA MESA

1	, ///		
2	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
3	(Statute of Limitations)		
4	19. The Complaint, and each purported cause of action therein, is barred by the		
5	applicable statute of limitations.		
6	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
7	(Uncertainty)		
8	20. The Complaint, and each purported cause of action therein, fails because the		
9	allegations are uncertain, ambiguous and unintelligible and therefore preclude AAA from being		
10	able to fully ascertain which conduct is alleged to give rise to which purported cause of action.		
11	TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
12	(Good Faith)		
13	21. AAA is informed and believes and thereon alleges that it acted in good faith in		
14	any and all interactions with Plaintiff and did not directly or indirectly perform any acts		
15	whatsoever which would constitute a violation of any rights of Plaintiff or any duty, if any, owed		
16	to Plaintiff.		
17	TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
18	(Right to Assert Additional Affirmative Defenses)		
19	22. AAA lacks sufficient knowledge and information regarding the facts alleged by		
20	Plaintiff and discovery in this matter may reveal bases for additional affirmative defenses.		
21	AAA, therefore, reserve the right to plead additional affirmative defenses at a later time if such		
22	defenses are appropriate based on information that becomes available at a later time.		
23	WHEREFORE, AAA prays as follows:		
24	1. That Plaintiff take nothing by way of its Complaint;		
25	2. That Plaintiff be granted no relief in this action;		
26	3. That the Complaint be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice;		
27	4. That AAA recover its costs of suit herein, including reasonable attorneys' fees, as		
28	permitted by law; and 326974864.1		

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Costa Mesa

1	5.	That the Cour	rt award such other and further relief to AAA that it deems
2	appropriate.		
3	Dated: Octob	er 9, 2020	MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
4			
5			By:/s/ Matthew Williamson Matthew Williamson
6		<u> </u>	Attorneys for Defendant ALL AMERICAN ASPHALT
7			
8			,
9		•	
10			
11			·
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	,		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 ELPS & LP	326974864.1		- 11 -
LAW	II.		- 11 -

VERIFICATION

I am the Asphalt Plant Manager for All American Asphalt ("AAA"), a party to this action.
I am authorized to make this verification for and on behalf of AAA. I have read the foregoing
DEFENDANT'S VERIFIED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF CITY OF IRVINE'S
COMPLAINT FOR PUBLIC NUISANCE ABATEMENT, INJUNCTION, EQUITABLE
RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES and know its contents. I am informed and believe and on
that ground allege that the matters stated in it are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Corona, California on this 6th day of October 2020.

John Gardner

326874323.2

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW COSTA MESA - 12 -

MANATT, PHELPS &
PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNESS AT LAW